UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/574,215 | 03/31/2006 | Simon Goodman | MERCK-3151 | 9265 | |
| | 7590 06/03/200 TE, ZELANO & BRA | EXAMINER | | | |
| 2200 CLAREN | | SZNAIDMAN, MARCOS L | | | |
| SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON, | VA 22201 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 1612 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| | | 06/03/2009 | ELECTRONIC | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@mwzb.com

| | | А | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--------|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | | 10/574,215 | | GOODMAN ET AL. | | | |
| | | | xaminer | | Art Unit | | | |
| | | N | MARCOS SZNAIDM <i>A</i> | AN | 1612 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply | ication appea | rs on the cover she | eet with the co | orrespondence ac | idress | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M Isions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this composition of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum street or reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | MAILING DATI s of 37 CFR 1.136(a nunication. atutory period will a v will, by statute, cau | E OF THIS COMM i). In no event, however, n apply and will expire SIX (6 use the application to beco | IUNICATION may a reply be time by MONTHS from the map about the control of the co | ely filed ne mailing date of this o | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1) 又 | Responsive to communication(s) file | ed on <i>31 Marc</i> | ch 2006 | | | | | |
| · | • | | | | | | | |
| ′= | / | | | | | | | |
| ٥/ك | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | • | • | · | | | | |
| - | | anlication | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| - | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) 🔀 | Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> are subject to restriction | n and/or elect | tion requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)□ | The specification is objected to by th | e Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are | : a) <mark></mark> accept | ed or b)⊡ objecte | d to by the E | xaminer. | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority เ | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notic 3) Inforr | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | PTO-948) | Pape 5) D Notic | view Summary (er No(s)/Mail Dat ce of Informal Pa r: | e | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Elections

` First election

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows: alpha v-integrin antagonists, if Applicant elects either alpha v beta3 antagonist or alpha v beta 6 antagonist, Applicant has to further elect a single disclosed species for the subgenus elected Electing a compound that is not specifically disclosed as filed may be considered new matter.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: 1-9.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: they are structurally different compounds, which do not belong to a known recognized genus and possess widely different physical or chemical properties.

Second election

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows: pathological conditions as listed in claim 5.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: 1-6 and 8-9.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the disclosed pathological conditions can have different stages of progression and require different type of treatment.

Inventorship Notice

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARCOS SZNAIDMAN whose telephone number is (571)270-3498. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 8 AM to 6 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frederick F. Krass can be reached on 571 272-0580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,215 Page 5

Art Unit: 1612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/MARCOS SZNAIDMAN/ Examiner, Art Unit 1612 May 25, 2009 /Brandon J Fetterolf/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1642